



# Meeting Notes

---

## East Stanislaus Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

<b>Subject:</b>	Steering Committee Meeting No. 2	<b>Attendees:</b> Jim Alves (City of Modesto); Fallon Martin (City of Turlock); Jaylen French, Katie Willett (City of Hughson); Karen Morgan (City of Waterford); Walt Ward (Stanislaus County); Leslie Dumas, Lindsey Wilcox, Josh Uecker (RMC)
<b>Date/Time:</b>	June 26, 2017 / 9:30 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.	
<b>Location:</b>	Conference Call / Skype Meeting 1 (415) 735-9405; Conference ID: 2401583	
<b>Project Number:</b>	0080-013	

---

### 1. Purpose of the Meeting

- Review work completed to date and outstanding action items
- Discuss DAC and EDA analysis
- Discuss updates to vision, goals, and objectives
- Identify next steps and action items

### 2. Discussion Summary

- Work Completed to Date & Outstanding Action Items – Lindsey summarized work completed to date and work pending.
  - Task 1: Revisit Governance Structure - Updated governance structure and relevant IRWMP sections. Next step will be to finalize the SC and PAC Roles & Responsibilities. SC Roles & Responsibilities were emailed to the group for review and approval.
  - Task 2: Update IRWMP - Several items completed and pending
    - Chapters 2, 4, and 5 updated and out for review & comment
    - Published Notice of Intent (NOI) to update the IRWMP in the Modesto Bee (as required by 2016 IRWM Guidelines)
  - Task 3: Stakeholder Committees and Community Outreach - Pending info back from SC regarding the stakeholder contact list update
  - Task 4: DAC and Native American Outreach – disadvantaged community (DAC) technical memorandum (TM) distributed, SC comments pending. Once DAC contacts are identified, RMC will make focused phone calls to discuss the IRWMP Update and ways DACs can participate.
  - Task 5: Update Website and OPTI
    - RMC working with Jim to get website updated
    - OPTI tool to be used for project solicitation in coming months
    - Jim Question: Re: OPTI project updates – is there a specific time period that we will be requesting project updates? Response: yes, will have a solicitation window for new and updated project information – this will happen after updates to Goals and Objectives, and associated changes to the project solicitation form in OPTI

- Reviewed Action Items list from SC Meeting #1

- Jim to email SC members info about the DAC Involvement Grant Program
- Walt Question: What is the nexus between the IRWMP update and DAC Involvement (DACI) Grant Program? Is there something that we should be thinking about in this process (plan update) regarding the DAC program? Leslie – yes, 2 items tie in: 1) DAC chapter in the IRWMP update and 2) DAC projects in the project solicitation process and DAC Program development. We will avoid duplicating efforts. The DACI grant program will provide a ~\$3.1M grant to the San Joaquin Funding Area to conduct a DAC Needs Assessment and perform planning/design/engineering/environmental work on DAC projects in order to prepare them for future rounds of IRWM implementation grants. The IRWMP Update will identify DACs and perform focused outreach to encourage participation in the Plan Update. This work will feed into the DACI grant program effort which is lagging months behind the ESIRWMP Update schedule.
- Jim is coordinating with other IRWM regions in the San Joaquin Funding Area to release the Request for Proposals (RFP) to retain a consultant to prepare the DACI grant proposal. He will send out relevant information to the SC members.
- The first ESRWMP meeting needs to be scheduled. One thought is to have it in August, prior to the August public workshop. Jim thought this could be tricky coordinating with council members during summer months. We will focus on scheduling the public workshop. If it is possible to schedule the ESRWMP the same day, we will, but otherwise, it can be postponed.
- Need feedback from SC on stakeholder list. Karen and Fallon requested RMC resend the list to them.
- Distribution of IRWMP materials to continue to SC representatives who will distribute to their alternates and others internally as needed
- DAC and EDA Review (RMC Presentation and Discussion)
  - Lindsey provided high level overview of the DAC, economically distressed areas (EDAs) and Native American tribal communities analyses. Detailed information is included in the TM previously emailed to the SC for review.
  - Jim: DACs within cities' sphere of influence are well covered in terms of how they are represented within the IRWM region. Need to make this clear in the Stakeholder Contact List and the IRWMP. SC members to let RMC know what DACs they feel comfortable representing.
  - Focus for IRWMP update is identifying DACs and DAC stakeholders (information collection) for utilization of project solicitation (under IRWM grant program) and the DAC Involvement Grant Program
  - As of Jan 2016 – no federally recognized tribes present in the Region
- Vision, Goals, and Objectives
  - Lindsey provided overview of additional Plan requirements
    - Major change to the 2016 IRWM Guidelines is for regions to consider various aspects of climate change when developing regional goals and objectives.
    - Jim: A lot of the groundwater-related projects and planning will fall to GSAs/GSPs; need to acknowledge these, but won't have much information from them yet

- Regional Conflicts and Issues updates:
  - Walt: Should climate change be listed? – Group consensus was yes
  - Should recycled water remain on the list? – Group consensus was yes. There are opportunities for Waterford to provide recycled water to a nearby nursery. It is cost prohibitive, but with grants, could be viable.
- Vision – no recommended changes from SC
- Goals and Objectives
  - Change the Water Supply climate change objective: “Formulate adaptation strategies to address changes in runoff...”
  - Flood Protection: Walt asked why the 100-year event is referenced in the objective? Is that what we want to be highlighting? Is that the level of service (LOS) they (the region) want to be pushing for? Leslie: Should look at what the Mid-San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan (RFMP) used for planning purposes.
  - Because we did not have adequate time to go through all the goals and objectives in detail, the SC is to provide RMC comments on Chapter 5 and suggested edits to the goals and objectives via email by Monday 7/3.
- Next Steps
  - RMC to update DAC/EDA/NA memo based on SC comments
  - SC to provide comments on Chapters 2, 4, and 5 via email, as well as Stakeholder Contact List, SC Roles & Responsibilities, and Stakeholder Communications & Outreach Plan
  - RMC to revise Chapters 2, 4, and 5 based on SC comments
  - RMC to make phone calls to DACs after contacts are identified to discuss IRWMP Update and encourage participation
  - RMC to begin revisions to project solicitation form/OPTI to ramp up for call-for-projects in August
  - RMC and SC to schedule next SC meeting in July and the August public workshop

### 3. ACTION ITEMS

Item No.	Responsible Party		Due Date	Task/Action Item
	Organization	Name		
1	RMC	Lindsey	6/26	Send Fallon and Karen Stakeholder Contact List
2	SC	Jim, Fallon, Karen, Jaylen, Mike, Walt	6/30	Look at the DACs listed in the Stakeholder Contact List and let RMC know who they feel comfortable representing
3	RMC	Josh	6/27	Look into Mid-San Joaquin River RFMP regarding what year-type of storm they plan for
4	Modesto	Jim	6/30	Email the SC about the DAC Involvement Grant Program
5	RMC	Lindsey	7/3	Coordinate with SC to schedule July SC meeting and August public workshops